## The European Commission's science and knowledge service

.

3

#### Joint Research Centre

de.



# Monitoring private R&D investment in low-carbon energy technologies

#### Dr. Aliki Georgakaki

European Commission Joint Research Centre Energy, Transport and Climate Directorate

Big Energy Push Workshop, Brasilia, October 30, 2019



## The Joint Research Centre of the European Commission

The science and knowledge service of the European Commission, supporting EU policies with independent evidence throughout the whole policy cycle



#### Discover more about the JRC at https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en



# Short Outline

- The need to monitor private R&D investments
- Data sources and challenges
- Insights from MI Tracking Progress
- JRC analytical approaches
- Benchmarking how do global numbers compare?
- Future work for JRC and MI Tracking



# The need to monitor private R&D investment

- Globally, the private sector accounts for over 2/3 of R&D investments
- Globally, the private sector accounts for up to 3/4 of R&D investments in low-carbon energy

Monitoring private R&D to:

 Support effective policy design, to coordinate, catalyse, promote and complement technology development



#### Investment in the Energy Union / SET Plan R&I priorities in the EU

Source: The Strategic Energy Technology (SET) Plan - At the heart of Energy Research and Innovation in Europe



#### Data sources

# Challenges

- Company statements
- Surveys
- Grey literature news
- Expert estimates
- Analytical approaches
- A combination of the above

- Varying obligation to report
- Confidentiality / competition
- Reporting at high level of aggregation
- Varying definitions
- Complex company structures



## MI Tracking Progress

Key messages from Malmö  Monitoring private R&D investment is challenging. Accuracy not the end goal, but the investigation of trends.

#### SURVEYS

- Avoid introducing additional burden and (frequent) modifications of the questionnaires
- Response rates improve with an element of trust, beyond a legal obligation and/or a financial incentive
- Existing classifications not fit to inform on (low-carbon) energy; additional data is needed, careful design of surveys is crucial
- Financial and business reports may not disclose the real picture on private R&D expenditure

#### **ANALYTICAL METHODS**

• JRC and others provide comprehensive analytical approaches; these are resource intensive and have a different perspective from national surveys – need to review and benchmark



# JRC analytical approaches to private R&D

#### **Combination of company data/patent statistics**



The JRC uses the relationship between R&D expenditure and patenting trends to gain detailed insights on R&D investments in low-carbon energy technologies



Existing work using patents as a proxy

- Established JRC methodology
- Based on patenting activity and financial information
- Considerable time lag complete 2015/16 available shortly – simple estimates forward
- Results per country and technology sector





## Strengths

#### Weaknesses

- Transparent
- Based on public or easily accessible datasets
- Globally applicable
- Provides information at a low level of aggregation
- Further analysis and insights

- Resource intensive
- Data processing requirements
- Time lag (patent statistics)
- Set of assumptions on:
  - patents
  - costs
  - geographical location



Approach based on financial statements

similar work by :

UNEP/BNEF IEA

- Alternative JRC methodology
- Based on the description of activities of groups of companies on clean energy and financial information
- Reduced time lag 1 year delay
- Results per country
- Results for Clean Energy no technology resolution





## Benchmarking

How do analytical methods compare?

- No absolute baseline comparing estimates
- Estimates refer to different samples both in terms of number of companies and in terms of the technologies included
- Nonetheless, numbers are of the same order, and the differences can be put into context



Source: JRC, adapted from IEA & BloombergNEF/UNEP



### MI Tracking Progress

Next steps for the work stream on private R&D investment, building on the Workshop in Malmö

- Position paper on the existing state of play
  - Who provides estimates and how?
  - What is included / monitored?
  - How do the numbers compare?
- What is a workable solution?
- What else should we be monitoring?
- Follow-up workshop in 1<sup>st</sup> quarter 2020
  Please join us!



# Additional information

#### JRC R&I Monitoring

Fiorini A; Georgakaki A; Pasimeni F; Tzimas E. *Monitoring R&I in Low-Carbon Energy Technologies*, EUR 28446 EN, Publications Office of the European Union

Pasimeni F; Fiorini A; Georgakaki A. *Patent-based Estimation Procedure of Private R&D: The Case of Climate Change and Mitigation Technologies in Europe*, <u>SWPS</u> <u>2018-06</u>.

Reports available @



https://setis.ec.europa.eu/related-jrc-activities/jrc-setis-reports

#### The R&I team:

A. Georgakaki, F. Pasimeni, S. Letout





# Thank you

#### Any questions?

You can find me at +31 22456-5133 & Aliki.Georgakaki@ec.europa.eu



## Private R&D: methodological steps





#### • Data collection

Name

Country

Sector

R&D expenditure



• Company structure

definition of parent-subsidiary relationships

Patent statistics per company, industry sector, energy technology, & country (JRC methodology)



#### Step 3



what does it cost for a company in a certain country and sector to produce an invention?

average cost assigned to all subsidiaries

#### Step 4

#### Average R&D cost per energy technology

what does it cost for a company in a certain country and sector to produce an invention in technology x?

average cost assigned to all other companies



Source: JRC

nput